

**From:** [TARNOW Karen E](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: lagoon recontamination model  
**Date:** 08/04/2010 12:50 PM

---

I came to you because I thought it would be easiest for Parametrix to do, since they are familiar with the project and sources of information. Your initial reaction was fairly positive, so I just keep asking!

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 04, 2010 12:03 PM  
To: TARNOW Karen E  
Subject: Re: lagoon recontamination model

I'll have to discuss with Chip when he gets back.

Overall, our opinion is that this is more a source control effort than an FS effort. If that is the case, why can't you use DEQ's contractor and recover the costs in some manner from the upland PRPs?

Eric

From: "TARNOW Karen E" <TARNOW.Karen@deq.state.or.us>  
To: Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/04/2010 11:46 AM  
Subject: lagoon recontamination model

Eric -

I spent time yesterday and this morning digging into thin project, and have decided that I would like to have Parametrix's help if that's possible. There is a lot of good info in the Draft RI to get started with - it's especially fortunate that the lagoon is its own model segment (F16) so they have land use acreages and runoff volumes already calculated.

Once we get a simple model put together, I'd like to plug in some concentrations that are probably more realistic than the land use averages available in the RI.

Please let me know if/how to get this rolling. Thanks

Karen

Karen Tarnow

Oregon DEQ

Portland Harbor Stormwater Coordinator

503-229-5988